UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,154	09/23/2003	Mark Trocki	VI/00-001.CIP.D5	VI/00-001.CIP.D5 2871	
21140 GREGORY L F	7590 02/02/2007 BRADLEY		EXAMINER		
MEDRAD INC			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH		
ONE MEDRAI INDIANOLA, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3767		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOì	NTHS	02/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/670,154		TROCKI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Elizabeth R. Mad	:Neill	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time refer SIX (6) MONTI  - If NO period for repl  - Failure to reply withing the received by the second	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMAGE and be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period we not the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 66(a). In no event, howen rill apply and will expire cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from the obscome ABANDONED	By filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this	ye to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ja</u> n is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This application is in condition for allowan accordance with the practice under E	action is non-fin	mal matters, pros		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Application Papers	<b>3</b>							
10)□ The drawir Applicant n Replaceme	ication is objected to by the Examinering(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptage and not request that any objection to the cent drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a large to be a la	epted or b) obj drawing(s) be held on is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· —	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendments submitted 11 January 2007.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niehoff (US 5,662,612).

Niehoff teaches a method of using an injector (40) with a syringe (10) with a plunger (12) and piston (not labeled, connected to jaws 18) comprising "The empty syringe is filled by retraction of the plunger while the interior of the syringe communicates with a supply of the contrast fluid via an injection tube connected between the nozzle of the syringe and the supply of media. Then, bubbles are removed from the syringe, and the injection is performed. At the end of the procedure, the syringe plunger typically is forward, as is the plunger drive." The method further comprises sensing whether the syringe is prefilled, empty, or preloaded (Col 3).

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Battiato et al (US 5,868,710).

Niehoff teaches a method of using an injector (22) with a syringe (38) with a plunger (31) and piston (62) comprising operating a piston in forward and reverse directions

either automatically or by hand (with 29) to load, inject, and eliminate air from the syringe. See Col 2-4 and 19-20.

## **Double Patenting**

Claim 12 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim

1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3767

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FRM

W. 12720